

### Remarks

The Examiner has rejected claims 14, 15, 17 and 18 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as being indefinite. The Examiner has found the term "shelf" in claim 14, line 6, and "the wall" in claim 14, line 20 to be ambiguous. Applicant has amended the claim to resolve the ambiguities. In claims 17 and 18, in which the Examiner has found insufficient antecedent basis for "the display indicia", the dependency of the claims has been corrected from claim 16 to claim 15, which provides the required antecedent basis.

The Examiner has also objected to the drawings or failure to show the indicia located within the dome as recited in claims 16-18. While it is believed that such indicia, which may be in the form of a display card 48 is thus shown in the drawings, Applicant amends the specification to add the reference to indicia also on the forward-face of rear wall 34, identifying such indicia as "indicia 58". No new matter has been added by such amendment.

Passage to allowance is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,


SCHWEITZER CORNMAN GROSS & BONDELL LLP  
**Customer No. 022831**  
Attorneys For Applicant  
292 Madison Avenue – 19<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10017  
(646)424-0770

BY

  
G. Bondell  
JAY A. BONDELL, ESQ.,  
REG. #28,188

**CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8(a)**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on October 8, 2004.  
Carol L. Wood, Sender





Attorney Docket #0279-303PRE/JAB

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**In re : U.S. Reissue Patent Application of ANDREW GLANZ**  
(Reissue Appln. of U.S. Patent No. 6,321,475)

**S.N. : 10/723,964 Group Art Unit: 3611**

**Filed : November 26, 2003 Examiner : C.A. Davis**

**For : SHELF-MOUNTED DISPLAY DEVICE**

Figure 3 is amended to add indicia 58 on the forward face of rear wall 34